

Site: Tomato (Page 1)					Overall Confidence Rating: H			
Background: During 1994-96, there was a mean of 472,000 harvested acres (72% processed <sup>18</sup> , 28% fresh). CA, FL, OH, IN, and NY comprised 90% of the acreage <sup>4</sup> . Of the 874,000 acres treated, 17% were treated with organophosphates. Organophosphates were applied approximately 3.1 times per acre per year during the period <sup>5</sup> . Fresh tomatoes were treated much more than processed. CA produced about 95% of the processed and FL produced most of the fresh. The following insecticides have usage, registration, and tolerances <sup>12</sup> for tomatoes.								
Organophosphate  Pesticides	% Treated <sup>1</sup>		# Applications		Rate (lb AI/A)		PHI (days)	
	Max	Avg	Max <sup>2</sup>	Avg <sup>1</sup>	Max <sup>2</sup>	Avg <sup>1</sup>	Min <sup>2</sup>	Avg
azinphos-methyl <sup>1, 5, 10, 17</sup>	25	15	4 <sup>11</sup>	1.5 <sup>10</sup>	1.5	0.6 <sup>10</sup>	0	7 <sup>3a,c</sup> _ 14 <sup>3c,e,u</sup>
methamidophos <sup>1, 5, 10, 17</sup>	Process 11 Fresh 90	Process 9 Fresh 58	5	Process 1.1 Fresh 4.2	1	Process 0.9 Fresh 0.8	7	14 <sup>3a</sup>
dimethoate <sup>1, 5, 10, 17</sup>	10	9	2 <sup>3</sup>	1.4 <sup>10</sup>	0.5	0.5 <sup>10</sup>	7	7 <sup>3a,c,e</sup>
malathion <sup>1, 5, 10, 17</sup>	9	4	Not specified on labels	2.5	21.6	0.2	1	1 <sup>3a</sup>
diazinon <sup>1, 5, 10, 17</sup>	7	4	5	2	11.5	0.4	1	1 <sup>3c</sup> -60 <sup>3a,t</sup>
chlorpyrifos <sup>1, 5, 10, 17</sup>	4	2	8	1.4	1	Not Availab le	14	Not Availab le
methyl parathion <sup>1, 5, 10</sup>	3	1	Not specified on labels	1 <sup>3d</sup>	1.5	1 <sup>7e</sup>	5	15 <sup>3a</sup>
disulfoton <sup>1, 5, 17</sup>	0.1 <sup>3a</sup>	0	1	1	3	1.3	30	90 <sup>3a</sup>

Confidence Rating: H= high confidence = data from several confirming sources; confirmed by personal experience

M = medium confidence = data from only a few sources; may be some conflicting or unconfirmed info.

L = low confidence = data from only one unconfirmed source

Organophosphate Target Pests for Tomatoes <sup>5</sup>	
Major	aphids (potato, green peach) <sup>6,7a</sup> , tomato pinworm; wireworms; whiteflies (silverleaf <sup>7a</sup> ); leafminer ( <i>Liriomyza</i> <sup>7a</sup> )
Moderate	flea beetles; cutworm; symphylans; beet leafhopper <sup>7a</sup> ; tomato fruitworm; beet armyworm; Colorado potato beetle; fruit flies ( <i>Drosophila</i> <sup>7a</sup> ); crickets
Minor	thrips; stink bugs; lygus bugs

Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor =<5% of all OP usage on pest

Note: Fonofos, oxydemeton-methyl, dicrotophos, naled<sup>19</sup>, and acephate have usage but not tolerances<sup>5, 12</sup>.

**Sources: (Crop and Pest Summaries)**

- <sup>1</sup>QUA. 1993-1997. EPA Quantitative Usage Analysis. Methamidophos is the only insecticide for which average numbers of applications and lbs per year are available for fresh and processed; therefore, weighted averages are given for fresh and processed.
- <sup>2</sup>LUIS. 1998. Label Use Information System, version 5.0, EPA.
- <sup>3a</sup>QUA+, Quantitative Usage Analysis, EPA. California Processing Tomato Industry FQPA Response. 1997. Diazinon and disulfoton were applied at plant.
- <sup>3b</sup>QUA+, Quantitative Usage Analysis, EPA. California Tomato Research Institute report to NCFAP. Insecticide Use on California Tomatoes. 1995. Wireworms, potato aphids, and stink bugs listed as major pests in processed tomatoes.
- <sup>3c</sup>QUA+, Quantitative Usage Analysis, EPA. Pesticide Use and Usage in Michigan 1997. 1998.
- <sup>3e</sup>QUA+, Quantitative Usage Analysis, EPA. Rutgers University, NJ. 1998.
- <sup>3f</sup>QUA+, Quantitative Usage Analysis, EPA. Valent. Methamidophos. 1998.
- <sup>3g</sup>QUA+, Quantitative Usage Analysis, EPA. Atochem. Methyl Parathion. 1998.
- <sup>3i</sup>MI had ca. 2% of acreage and CA 98%<sup>4</sup>, so weighted average PHI is 59.
- <sup>3u</sup>MI 2%, and CA 98% of the acreage<sup>4</sup>, so weighted average PHI is 13.
- <sup>4</sup>Agricultural Statistics. USDA. 1998.
- <sup>5</sup>Proprietary EPA Quantitative Pesticide Usage. 1997.
- <sup>6</sup>Proprietary EPA Quantitative Pesticide Usage. 1997.
- <sup>7a</sup>University of California, Pest Management Guidelines, Tomato. 1997.
- <sup>7b</sup>University of Florida, 1996 Florida Insect Management Guide, Insect Management in Tomatoes. 1996.
- <sup>7c</sup>Ohio Vegetable Production Guide, Tomatoes: Fresh Market and Processing, Insect Control. 1997.
- <sup>7d</sup>Purdue University [IN], Management of Insect Pests on Fresh Market Tomatoes. 1993.
- <sup>7e</sup>Cornell [NY] Cooperative Extension, Pest Management Recommendations, Control of Insect Pests of Tomatoes. 1998.
- <sup>8</sup>Proprietary EPA Quantitative Pesticide Usage. 1996.
- <sup>10</sup>Agricultural Chemical Usage Vegetables 1996. USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service. 1997.
- <sup>11</sup>Insect Control Guide. Meister Publishing. 1997.
- <sup>12</sup>Tolerance Index System. EPA. 1998.
- <sup>13</sup>Arthropod Management Tests. Ent. Soc. America. 1997.
- <sup>14</sup>Arthropod Management Tests. Ent. Soc. America. 1996.
- <sup>15</sup>Arthropod Management Tests. Ent. Soc. America. 1994.
- <sup>16</sup>EPA Section 18 records. 1995-1998.
- <sup>17</sup>US Geological Survey, Pesticide National Synthesis Project, Tomatoes for 1997. 1998.
- <sup>18</sup>Balling, S., Processed Tomato Foundation, 925-944-7377, stated in telephone communication that up to 95% of processed tomatoes produced in CA. 7/8/98.
- <sup>19</sup>FR 63:3057-3060. WWW.cas.psu.edu/docs/.
- <sup>20</sup>OP Tolerance Assessment Matrix Populating Instructions & Data Dictionary, EPA, 1998.
- <sup>21</sup>Rivara, C. California Processing Tomato Industry. Comments on draft. July 17, 1998.
- <sup>22</sup>University of California. California Pesticide Use Summaries, Tomato, Tomato (processing/canning) for 1994. 1998.
- <sup>23</sup>California Dept. Pesticide Regulation and Univ. California Statewide IPM Program. Pest Management Survey Database. Tomato. 1996.
- <sup>24</sup>Agricultural Information Services, Ltd. 1997. World Pest Infestation Database. Tomato, Georgia, North Carolina, California.
- <sup>25</sup>www.nass.usda.gov/oh, ny, in. 1997 vegetable production stats. 1998.

Date: 8/3/98

Site: Tomato (Fresh)

Region: Florida

Pest	Organophosphate	Efficacy	Mkt		Class	Alt. Pesticide List	Efficacy	Mkt	Constraints of Alternatives
Timing: Seedling									
whitefly (silverleaf) <sup>7b</sup> (major) <sup>5</sup>	azinphos-methyl <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>		O	imidacloprid <sup>5</sup>		high <sup>5</sup>	Imidacloprid essential to prevent tomato yellow leaf curl virus season-long <sup>7b</sup> . Bifenthrin effective in Florida plot tests <sup>13</sup> . In GA, pyrethroids used with excellent efficacy <sup>24</sup> .  Permethrin tank mix with methamidophos <sup>7a</sup>
	methamidophos <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>		C	oxamyl <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
					P	cyhalothrin-lambda <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
					P	permethrin <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
aphid (major) <sup>5</sup>	methamidophos <sup>5</sup>		high <sup>5</sup>		O	imidacloprid <sup>5</sup>		high <sup>5</sup>	In NC, diazinon, acephate, dimethoate good efficacy.
tomato pinworm (major) <sup>5</sup>	azinphos-methyl <sup>5</sup>		high <sup>5</sup>		C	methomyl <sup>5</sup>		high <sup>5</sup>	Pheromone suppresses populations using trapping stations. <sup>7b</sup>
					O	tredecen acetate pheromone <sup>7a</sup>			
					B	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> <sup>5</sup>		high <sup>5</sup>	
					P	permethrin <sup>5</sup>		high <sup>5</sup>	

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:**

Note: Analyzed pests make up &gt;95% of OP usage.

**SOURCES:** See crop summary.

Date: 6/24/98

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = &lt;5% of all OP usage on pest

Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ☺ Good = ○ Fair = ●

Market Share: High = use of OP represents 20+% of all insecticide usage on pest; Med = 5-20% of all usage on pest; Lo = &lt;5% of all usage on pest

Insecticides: C = Carbamates; P = Pyrethroids; CH = Chlorinated Hydrocarbons; IGR = Insect Growth Regulators; B = Biological; O = Other pesticides

Site: Tomato (Fresh)

Region: Florida

Pest	Organophosphate	Efficacy	Mkt		Class	Alt. Pesticide List	Efficacy	Mkt	Constraints of Alternatives
Timing: Foliage/Fruit									
whitefly (silverleaf) <sup>7b</sup> (major) <sup>5</sup>	chlorpyrifos <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>		P	permethrin <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	In fresh-market field plots, at-plant imidacloprid only product that reduced virus; bifenthrin moderately effective in plots. Pyriban effective on whitefly in field tests. Lambda-cyhalothrin plus methamidophos effective on stink bug. <sup>13</sup> . Amitraz, azadirachtin, fosetyl, bifenthrin, and endosulfan effective in Florida field trials <sup>14</sup> . In GA, pyrethroids used with excellent efficacy <sup>24</sup> .
	methamidophos <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>		CH	endosulfan <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
	azinphos-methyl <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>		P	fenpropathrin <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
					C	oxamyl <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
					O	imidacloprid <sup>5</sup>	☺ <sup>13</sup>	med <sup>5</sup>	
					P	esfenvalerate <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
					C	methomyl		low <sup>5</sup>	
					P	cyhalothrin-lambda <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
					P	cyfluthrin <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
beet armyworm (moderate) <sup>5</sup>	chlorpyrifos <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>		B	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> <sup>5</sup>		high <sup>5</sup>	
	methamidophos <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>		C	methomyl <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
					CH	endosulfan <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
					P	permethrin <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
					P	esfenvalerate <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = &lt;5% of all OP usage on pest

Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ☺ Good = ○ Fair = ●

Market Share: High = use of OP represents 20+% of all insecticide usage on pest; Med = 5-20% of all usage on pest; Lo = &lt;5% of all usage on pest

Insecticides: C = Carbamates; P = Pyrethroids; CH = Chlorinated Hydrocarbons; IGR = Insect Growth Regulators; B = Biological; O = Other pesticides

Site: Tomato (Fresh)

Region: Florida

Pest	Organophosphate	Efficacy	Mkt		Class	Alt. Pesticide List	Efficacy	Mkt	Constraints of Alternatives
Timing: Foliage/Fruit									
leafminer (major) <sup>5</sup>	methamidophos <sup>5</sup>		high <sup>5</sup>		O	abamectin <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
	dimethoate <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>		C	oxamyl <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
					CH	endosulfan <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
					P	permethrin <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
					B	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
					O	cyromazine <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
aphid (moderate) <sup>5</sup>	chlorpyrifos <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>		CH	endosulfan <sup>5,3f</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	Pymetrozine, foliar imidacloprid, and dimethoate effective in field trials in Florida <sup>14</sup> .
	methamidophos <sup>5</sup>		high <sup>5</sup>		C	methomyl <sup>3f,5</sup>		high <sup>5</sup>	
					O	imidacloprid <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
					P	esfenvalerate <sup>5,3f</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
					P	permethrin <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
tomato pinworm (moderate) <sup>5</sup>	chlorpyrifos <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>		B	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> <sup>5</sup>	☺ <sup>15</sup>	high <sup>5</sup>	Thiodicarb very effective in field trials in Florida <sup>14</sup> . Bifenthrin moderately effective in plots <sup>13</sup> .
	methamidophos <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>		C	methomyl <sup>5</sup>	☺ <sup>14</sup>	high <sup>5</sup>	
					P	permethrin <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
					P	esfenvalerate <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
					B	azadirachtin <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = &lt;5% of all OP usage on pest

Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ☺ Good = ○ Fair = ●

Market Share: High = use of OP represents 20+% of all insecticide usage on pest; Med = 5-20% of all usage on pest; Lo = &lt;5% of all usage on pest

Insecticides: C = Carbamates; P = Pyrethroids; CH = Chlorinated Hydrocarbons; IGR = Insect Growth Regulators; B = Biological; O = Other pesticides

Site: Tomato (Fresh)

Region: Florida

Pest	Organophosphate	Efficacy	Mkt		Class	Alt. Pesticide List	Efficacy	Mkt	Constraints of Alternatives
Timing: Foliage/Fruit									
thrips (minor) <sup>5</sup>	methamidophos <sup>5</sup>	☺ <sup>24</sup>	high <sup>5</sup>		P	esfenvalerate <sup>5,3f</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>	
	dimethoate <sup>5,3f</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>		P	permethrin <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
	chlorpyrifos <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>		CH	endosulfan <sup>5,3f</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
					P	cyfluthrin <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
					P	cyhalothrin-lambda <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
					O	imidocloprid <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
tomato fruitworm (moderate) <sup>5</sup>	chlorpyrifos <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>		C	methomyl <sup>5</sup>	☺ <sup>24</sup>	high <sup>5</sup>	In GA, pyrethroids used with excellent efficacy <sup>24</sup> .
	methamidophos <sup>5</sup>		med <sup>5</sup>		B	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> <sup>5</sup>		high <sup>5</sup>	
					B	azadirachtin <sup>5</sup>		low <sup>5</sup>	
					P	permethrin <sup>5</sup>		high <sup>5</sup>	
					PY	esfenvalerate <sup>5</sup>	☺ <sup>24</sup>	low <sup>5</sup>	
					CH	endosulfan <sup>5</sup>	☺ <sup>24</sup>	low <sup>5</sup>	

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:**

Note: Analyzed pests make up &gt;95% of OP usage.

**SOURCES:** See crop summary.

Date: 6/24/98

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = &lt;5% of all OP usage on pest

Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ☺ Good = ○ Fair = ●

Market Share: High = use of OP represents 20+% of all insecticide usage on pest; Med = 5-20% of all usage on pest; Lo = &lt;5% of all usage on pest

Insecticides: C = Carbamates; P = Pyrethroids; CH = Chlorinated Hydrocarbons; IGR = Insect Growth Regulators; B = Biological; O = Other pesticides